

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

EINTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,377	01/02/2002	Jeffrey A. Perkins	11694/04169	1144	
27483	7590 11/18	• •	EXAM	EXAMINER	
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T		
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			1734		

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Analia di a N	m ·	<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/039,377	PERKINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yewebdar T Tadesse	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT . Cause the application to become AB	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Evaminor	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	, ,	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		- Marie 7 (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	
<u> </u>			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		olication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			
application from the International Bureau		ver ver in the National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ceived.	
Attachment(s)	_		į
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413) ⁄Iail Date. <u>11122004</u> .	
Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/039,377

Art Unit: 1734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-15, drawn to a coating system, classified in class 118, subclass
     695.
  - II. Claims 16-23, drawn to a method of controlling a spray coating, classified in class 239, subclass 67.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be performed with a coating system not having a master control panel such as, a sub —master control panel.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Leonard Lewis on 11/01/2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claim16-23.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

ì

Application/Control Number: 10/039,377

Art Unit: 1734

Claims 1-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1734

8. Claims 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crum et al (US 5,718,767) in view of Bienduga (US 5,443,642). As to claims 16-21, Crum et al discloses (see Figs 1-2, column 7, lines 10-37) a method of controlling coating system configured interface with a plurality of spray guns (18,22,24), the method comprising reading a spray gun selector input or a user input change. associating the spray gun selector input with a particular spray gun and outputting the particular spray gun operating characteristics to a display (through the use of processor 71, which is in communication with the network interface and gateway control, wherein processing commands or event states decoded/created and interpreted and an external PC to display the operating functions). Crum et al lacks teaching displaying the particular spray gun operating characteristics within a cluster of operating characteristics associated with the plurality of spray guns. However as shown in Fig 3 of Bienduga, it is known to display the particular spray gun operating characteristics within a cluster of operating electrical characteristics associated with the plurality of spray guns (display 700 displaying which guns from the arrays, are on, off and faulted, display for each gun is disposed adjacent the other one). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display the characteristics associated with the plurality of spray guns in cluster in the method of controlling spray system of Crum et al to indicate the real time state of each gun in the gun arrays as taught by Bienduga (see columns 8-9, lines 66-68 and 1-37 respectively).

Art Unit: 1734

With respect to claims 22-23, Crum et al system is capable of reading and associating a group of spray gun selector input as the gateway control 56 communicates with the group of gun controls (38, 40 and 42). As discussed above Crum et al system as modified by Bienduga is capable of displaying the operating characteristics of each spray gun associated with the group of spray gun.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yewebdar T Tadesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-1238. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM-4: 30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Keneholder P. P.